

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/820,107	03/28/2001	Tien-Chen Hu	67,200-364	2312	
7:	590 01/17/2002				
TUNG & ASSOCIATES			EXAMINER		
	ake Road, Suite 120		BERRY, WILLIE	BERRY, WILLIE WENDELL JR	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3723		
			DATE MAILED: 01/17/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
•	09/820,107	HU ET AL.	HU ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Willie Berry, Jr.	3723		
The MAN INC DATE of this communication	n annouse on the source choot w	th the correspondence ada	lrocc	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.

- Failu - Any r	period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Ire to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Period by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 March 2001.				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	ion of Claims				
4) 🖾	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-14 and 16-18</u> is/are rejected.				
7)🖂	Claim(s) <u>15</u> is/are objected to.				
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers .				
9)🛛 -	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10) 🔲 -	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) 🔲 🗀	The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.				
12) 🗌 -	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)[All b) Some * c) None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
,	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.				
Attachment	t(s)				
2) Notic	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/820,107

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-14 and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pant et al. in view of Crevasse et al.

Pant discloses a method and apparatus for a linear chemical mechanical polisher comprising a wafer carrier (17), a continuous belt (12), a motor means (column 3, lines 55-60), a support platen (25), a plurality of openings in concentric circles (not numbered but shown in figure 10), a pressure detector (column 5, lines 35-38), a flow regulator (column 5, lines 56-57), a process controller (column 5, lines 50-53), and a plurality of zones (column 6, lines 51-55).

Pant does not disclose a gas source.

Application/Control Number: 09/820,107

Art Unit: 3723

Crevasse disclose a gas source (58) in a polishing apparatus for the purpose of providing

air through apertures in a platen.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention

was made to have modified Pant et al. to include the gas source as taught by Crevasse et al. for

the purpose of providing air through apertures in a platen. The size of the apertures in the platen

would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

made, since it is within the general skill of the worker in the art to select size on the basis of its

suitability for the user's preference as a matter of obvious design choice.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claim 15 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be

allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any

intervening claims.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

Page 3

Art Unit: 3723

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Willie Berry whose telephone number is (703) 308-7467.

Willie Berry, Jr.: wbj

Examiner Art Unit 3723

January 15, 2002